Google | 🕃 Privacy, Safety & Security

Post-quantum Cryptography at Google

Stefan Kölbl Symposium PQC, Netherlands June 13th, 2023



Agenda

- 1. Our view on post-quantum cryptography
- 2. Deploying PQC at scale
- 3. Engineering to ease migration



Part I - Where are we today?



https://ai.googleblog.com/2023/02/suppressing-quantum-errors-by-scaling.html





https://www.ibm.com/guantum/roadmap



Development Roadmap Executed by IBM 🥑 On target 🥹 **IBM Quantum** 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026+ Run quantum circuits Demonstrate and Enhancing applications Improve accuracy of Scale quantum applica-Run quantum Bring dynamic circuits to Increase accuracy and on the IBM cloud programs 100x faster with elastic computing Oiskit Runtime with tions with circuit knitting prototype quantum **Qiskit Runtime to unlock** speed of quantum toolbox controlling algorithms and with Oiskit Runtime more computations and parallelization of scalable error mitigation workflows with integration applications **Qiskit Runtime Qiskit Runtime** of error correction into Oiskit Runtime Prototype quantum software applications 🐌 Ouantum software applications Model \rightarrow Developers Machine learning | Natural science | Optimization Algorithm Quantum algorithm and application modules \bigcirc Quantum Serverless 🐌 To break RSA we will need thousands of *logical* qubits 3 Heron Crossbill 133 aubits x p 408 aubits

https://www.ibm.com/quantum/roadmap



2022 OPINION-BASED ESTIMATES OF THE CUMULATIVE PROBABILITY OF A DIGITAL QUANTUM COMPUTER ABLE TO BREAK RSA-2048 IN 24 HOURS, AS FUNCTION OF TIMEFRAME

Estimates of the cumulative probability of a cryptographically-relevant quantum computer in time: range between average of an optimistic (top value) or pessimistic (bottom value) interpretation of the estimates indicated by the respondents. ["Shaded grey area corresponds to the 25-year period, not considered in the questionnaire.]



https://globalriskinstitute.org/publication/2022-guantum-threat-timeline-report/

Why care about a threat that does not exist yet?

Why we care about this today



PQC Standards

Algorithms

- IETF RFC-8391, RFC-8554, ISO 14888-4 & NIST SP 800-208
 - Scope: Stateful Hash-Based Signatures
 - Status: <u>Published</u> (ISO in DIS stage)
- NIST PQC Competition
 - Scope: KEMs, Signatures
 - Status: Drafts soon, standards ~Mid 2024
- ISO/IEC
 - Scope: KEMs
 - Status: Recently started









PQC Standards





PQC Challenges - Hardware

Devices

- Root of trust
- Security keys
- Consumer devices

Challenges

- Long development cycles
- Long devices lifetime
- Difficulty to upgrade
- Resource constrained environments



PQC Challenges - Performance





PQC Challenges - Performance

Challenges with *fancy* crypto:

- Blind Signatures
- (V)OPRF (e.g. Privacy Pass 🔂)
 - Communication overhead >100MB*

Huge performance gap between classic <-> PQC



PQC Challenges - State management

LMS/XMSS (stateful hash-based signatures)

- Only makes sense if SPHINCS+ performance is prohibitive.
- State management complicates signing infrastructure significantly.

Slowly sees adoption:

- Recommended by <u>CNSA 2.0</u>, <u>ANSII</u> (France), <u>BSI</u> (Germany)
- Already used in: <u>OpenSSH</u>, <u>mbedTLS</u>, <u>Infineon TPM</u>



PQC Priorities

As a large organization, where do you even start?



Google 8 16

PQC Priorities

- 1) Encryption in Transit
- 2) Signatures, when Public Keys are hard to change
- 3) All other Asymmetric Cryptography
- 4) Symmetric Cryptography



Part II - Encryption in Transit

ALTS: Overview





Bringing PQC to ALTS

- ALTS protects all our internal traffic
- Ideal candidate for early PQC adoption
- Enabled a PQC algorithm
 - Deployed in Hybrid mode
 - X25519 + HRSS

Securing tomorrow today: Why Google now protects its internal communications from quantum threats

Security & Identity



https://cloud.google.com/blog/products/identity-security/why-g oogle-now-uses-post-quantum-cryptography-for-internal-comms/





ALTS: Overview



8 21

Google

ALTS: Overview



PQC Overview







ALTS PQC



ClientInit

- static ECDH key
- cert for ECDH key
- ephemeral PQC public key

ServerInit

- static ECDH key
- cert for ECDH key
- PQC KEM ciphertext

ServerFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, server_const)

ClientFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, client_const)



ALTS PQC



ClientInit

- static ECDH key
- cert for ECDH key
- somewhat ephemeral PQC public key

ServerInit

- static ECDH key
- cert for ECDH key
- PQC KEM ciphertext

ServerFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, server_const)

ClientFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, client_const)



ALTS PQC



ClientInit

- static ECDH key
- cert for ECDH key
- resumption ticket
- somewhat ephemeral PQC public key

ServerInit

- resumption confirmation
- PQC KEM ciphertext

ServerFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, server_const)

ClientFinished

• HMAC(shared_secret, client_const)



Encryption in transit





- Traffic to user protected by TLS
- Chrome supporting hybrid key-exchange:
 - CECPQ1 (2016)
 - CECPQ2 (2019)



TLS handshake latency (Windows)

More info at: https://www.imperialviolet.org/2019/10/30/pgsivssl.html and https://blog.cloudflare.com/the-tls-post-guantum-experiment/



- Download Chrome Canary
 - o <u>https://www.google.com/chrome/canary/</u>
- Example test site:
 - o <u>https://pq.cloudflareresearch.com/</u>









Cloudflare Research: Post-Quantum Key Agreement

On essentially all domains served through Cloudflare, including this one, we have enabled hybrid post-quantum key agreement. Read our blog for the details.

You are using X25519Kyber768Draft00 which is post-quantum secure.



Part III - Engineer for agility

Crypto Agility at Google

Our main goal is to make crypto usable for engineers:

- <u>RWC'18</u>: Achieving high availability in the internal Google KMS.
- <u>RWC'19</u>: Tink: A cryptographic library.
- <u>RWC'21</u>: What's in a key?
- <u>RWC'23</u>: Crypto Agility and Post-Quantum Cryptography



Tink

- A multi language and multi-platform open source cryptography library.
- <u>https://github.com/google/tink</u>







Tink

Enforce best practices



Don't burden the user



Reliability





Tink - Keysets

Core concept is that users always use a keyset

- A set of keys which implement **the same primitive.**
- Facilitates key rotation.

ĸ	Keyset - Signature
	#1 ECDSAP256 {}
	#2 ECDSAP256 { }
	#3 RSA-PSS {}



Tink - Keyset handle

- Restricts access to sensitive data
- Provides API to obtain a primitive wrapping the keyset, e.g. for signatures:
 - sign(...), uses primary key #1
 - o verify(...), finds key to verify

KeysetHandle					
	Keyset - Signature				
	#1 ECDSAP256 {}				
	#2 ECDSAP256 {}				
	#3 RSA-PSS {}				





Example: Signing data

import tink

from tink import signature

Create a keyset with a single key and get a handle to it. keyset_handle = // Fetch the key from a KMS

Wrap the keyset into a signing primitive. sign_primitive = keyset_handle.primitive(signature.PublicKeySign)

Use the primitive to sign (uses the primary key!).
signature = sign_primitive.sign("mymessage")



Key Rotation

KeysetHandle					
	Keyset - Signat	ture			
	#1 ECDSAP256 {	}			
	#2 ECDSAP256 {	}			
l	L				

Key #2 is primary key



Key Rotation

KeysetHandle					
	k	Keyset - Signature			
		#1 ECDSAP256 {}			
		#2 ECDSAP256 {}			
			_		

Key #2 is primary key

Tink manages which key is used:

- #2 will sign any new data.
- {#1, #2} will verify signatures.



Key Rotation



Key #2 is primary key

Key #2 is primary key Key #3 is added Key #3 is primary key



Key rotation

Key rotation should happen automatically

- Forward secrecy.
- Enables speedy recovery from compromise at low operational risk.
- Simplifies switching keys \Rightarrow Transition to post-quantum crypto.

In practice **hard** to enforce:

• Reliability risks (see <u>RWC'23</u>)

Takeaways

01

Rolling out PQC

We started working on ALTS PQC in 2020, and are now finally getting ready to have rolled out PQC for all jobs, with many unforeseen obstacles along the way. 02

Hybrid deployment

Hybrid deployment allows us to experiment with PQC without risking security regressions. In the worst case, we learned something in an experiment, in the best case we have already mitigated store-now-decrypt later

03

Invest in tooling

Building the right tools for your engineers can ease complex issues around key management, and make migration easier.

Thank you

